Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

Watershed Improvement - Rangeland

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Watershed Improvement - Rangeland		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:	-	

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds, groundwater contamination or point source contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable amount of ground or surface water conservation?	Yes O or No O
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	Yes O or No O
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	Yes O or No O

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions		
Conservation Planning		
1. Does the cooperator have a current RMS plan on the CTU for the EQIP project?		
2. Does the cooperator have one or more contracts that are behind schedule?	Yes O or No O	
3. Does the cooperator have one or more contracts that have been cancelled, or terminated (or are in the process of being cancelled/terminated)?		
4. Is this project in an area that is covered by an approved areawide plan as defined by the National Planning Procedures Handbook?		
5. Does the plan address control of an invasive species identified by a state, county, or local government or by a local Cooperative Weed Management Area as being a noxious species?	Yes O or No O	
Water Quality		
6. Is the project located in a watershed of a nutrient impaired TMDL or [303(d) listed] water body (lake, stream, reservoir or TMDL impaired watershed)?		
Rangeland Health		
7. Is the change in the Rangeland Health score, as calculated using the designated Rangeland Health scoreheet for EQIP, between 1 and 5?	Yes O or No O	
8. Is the change in the Rangeland Health score, as calculated using the designated Rangeland Health scoreheet for EQIP, between 6 and 10?	Yes O or No O	
9. Is the change in the Rangeland Health score, as calculated using the designated Rangeland Health scoreheet for EQIP, between 11 and 15?	Yes O or No O	
10. Is the change in the Rangeland Health score, as calculated using the designated Rangeland Health scoreheet for EQIP, between 16 and 20?	Yes O or No O	
11. Is the change in the Rangeland Health score, as calculated using the designated Rangeland Health scoreheet for EQIP, greater than 20?	Yes O or No O	
Management Practices		

12. Will a management incentive practice be applied to 100% of the contracted acres and will the management practice be applied for 3 years?		
Partnerships		
13. Have any partners (UDWR, USFWS, Nature Conservancy, Trout Unlimited) committed in writing to provide financial and technical assistance to the project?		
At-Risk Species		
14. Will the project benefit multiple Priority 1 Species and multiple Priority 2 or 3 Species?		
15. Will the project benefit at least one Priority 1 or 2 Species?		
16. Will the project benefit any Priority 3 Species?		
Priority Habitats (Refer to Utah NRCS Action Plan to Conserve: Identified Priority fish and wildlife species and Habitats in Utah)		
17. Will the project positively affect 3 or more targeted habitat types?		
18. Will the project positively affect at least 2 targeted habitat types?		
19. Will the project positively affect 1 targeted habitat type?		Yes O or No O
Local Issues Addressed		
Issue Questions		Responses
Land Use:		
Resource Concerns	Practices	
Ranking Score		
Efficiency:		
Efficiency: Local Issues:		
·		
Local Issues:		
Local Issues: State Issues:		
Local Issues: State Issues: National Issues:		f your application is
Local Issues: State Issues: National Issues: Final Ranking Score: This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee fundi		f your application is

	Application Signature Not Required for Contract Development unless required by State policy:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: